

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/614,811	07/09/2003	Masahiko Ogawa	240004US0CONT	8031		
22850	7590 02/24/2005		EXAMINER			
·	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ELHILO, EISA B		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1751	-		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
10/614,811	OGAWA ET AL.	w.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eisa B Elhilo	1751	

	Eisa B Elhilo	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.					
I. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	-						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appel 	al but prior to the date of filing an	anneal brief. The Not	ice of Anneal				
was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of				
	hut naigr to the data of filing a baid	will not be entered b					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21. San attached Nation of Nan Co	maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment	(F10L-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		timely filed amondme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii submitted iii a separate,	unlely med amendine	and canceling the				
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 3-14.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	- Ni-Alan at America to the Alandar Alandar	dete efficience belef					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13. Other:		70 DML	,				
		Zisa Elista	<i>)</i>				
		Eisa Elhilo					
		Patent Examiner					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Art Unit: 1751

Continuation of 11. Does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant has not presented any additional data or showing to overcome the rejection of record.

The arguments filed on 1/21/2005, merely rehash the arguments presented earlier, which were

fully responded by the examiner in previous office action dated 11/3/2004.

Further, with respect to the argument that the molar ratio of ammonia or an ammonium salts with carbonates other than ammonium salts is important for the purposes of reducing the irritating odor of the first pack and enhancing the bleaching power of the hair dye formulation and reducing irritation to the scalp, the applicant has not provided a data or showing to indicate that the claimed dyeing method demonstrates unobvious and unexpected results over the dyeing composition of the closest prior art that does not teach or disclose a water soluble salts of iron or the molar ratio of ammonia or an ammonium salt with carbonates.